

EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Cabinet Member




REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Executive Decision Reference Number – SPT12 23/24

Decision	
1	Title of decisions: THE CITY OF PLYMOUTH (TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDERS) (AMENDMENT ORDER NO. 2023.2137310 – BRENTOR ROAD) ORDER
2	Decision maker: Councillor Mark Coker (Cabinet Member for Strategic Planning and Transport)
3	Report author and contact details: Amy Neale, Senior Traffic Management Technician, E: trafficmanagementinbox@plymouth.gov.uk
4	<p>Decision to be taken:</p> <p>To implement the following amendments to The City of Plymouth (Traffic Regulation and Street Parking Places) (Consolidation) Order 2004</p> <p>The effect of the order shall be to;</p> <p>Add/Amend No Waiting restrictions on lengths of the following roads: Brentor Road & Cathcart Avenue</p> <p>As set out in the briefing report</p>
5	<p>Reasons for decision:</p> <p>There will be a demolition of existing former Social Club and construction of new building to provide 10 self-contained 1-bed apartments for elderly independent living with associated ancillary and communal accommodation.</p> <p>The reason for the TRO changes is to comply with Highway Authority Consultation Response, and subsequent planning condition (6), to allow for 3 parking spaces on Brentor Road within the development.</p> <p>The proposal is to change 23 metres of single lines to doubles to allow for the 3 off street parking bays. We propose to remove the rest of the single lines on Brentor Road to increase the parking. We also propose to remove the single line on Cathcart Avenue on one side, to allow for parking in the day as well as the evening. We will be adding double yellow lines to the corners for junction protection.</p>
6	<p>Alternative options considered and rejected:</p> <p>The alternative option would be to do nothing. This option was discounted on the basis that the changes are needed to support the new development – it will also increase evening parking along this stretch.</p>

7	Financial implications and risks: The Traffic Regulation Orders (TRO's) and associated works are being funded by Plymouth Charity Trust. The net cost of the Traffic Order is £2,511.			
8	Is the decision a Key Decision? (please contact Democratic Support for further advice)	Yes	No	Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which:
			x	in the case of capital projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of £3million in total
			x	in the case of revenue projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £1million
		x	is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the local authority.	
	If yes, date of publication of the notice in the Forward Plan of Key Decisions	N/A		
9	Please specify how this decision is linked to the Council's corporate plan/Plymouth Plan and/or the policy framework and/or the revenue/capital budget:	The Local Transport Plan (LTP) details the transport strategies and policies that the City Council has adopted and will be key in helping the city meet its Corporate Plan priorities, and growth agenda. This decision links to the Corporate Plan priorities of 'Keeping children, adults and communities safe' and 'Providing quality public services'.		
10	Please specify any direct environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact)	N/A		
Urgent decisions				
11	Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the public?	Yes		(If yes, please contact Democratic Support (democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk) for advice)
		No	x	(If no, go to section 13a)
12a	Reason for urgency:			

I2b	Scrutiny Chair Signature:		Date	
	Scrutiny Committee name:			
	Print Name:			
Consultation				
I3a	Are any other Cabinet members' portfolios affected by the decision?	Yes		
		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	(If no go to section I4)
I3b	Which other Cabinet member's portfolio is affected by the decision?	N/A		
I3c	Date Cabinet member consulted	N/A		
I4	Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision?	Yes		If yes, please discuss with the Monitoring Officer
		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
I5	Which Corporate Management Team member has been consulted?	Name	Anthony Payne	
		Job title	Strategic Director for Place	
		Date consulted	04/12/2023	
Sign-off				
I6	Sign off codes from the relevant departments consulted:	Democratic Support (mandatory)	DS 77 23/24	
		Finance (mandatory)	DJN.23.24.163	
		Legal (mandatory)	LS/2748/JP/041223.	
		Human Resources (if applicable)	N/A	
		Corporate property (if applicable)	N/A	
		Procurement (if applicable)	N/A	
Appendices				
I7	Ref.	Title of appendix		
	A	Briefing report for publication		
	B	Equalities Impact Assessment		

Confidential/exempt information								
18a	Do you need to include any confidential/exempt information?	Yes		If yes, prepare a second, confidential ('Part II') briefing report and indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part I of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box in 18b below. (Keep as much information as possible in the briefing report that will be in the public domain)				
		No	x					
		Exemption Paragraph Number						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
18b	Confidential/exempt briefing report title:							
Background Papers								
19	Please list all unpublished, background papers relevant to the decision in the table below. Background papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, relied on to a material extent in preparing the report, which disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the work is based. If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part I of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box.							
Title of background paper(s)		Exemption Paragraph Number						
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Cabinet Member Signature								
20	I agree the decision and confirm that it is not contrary to the Council's policy and budget framework, Corporate Plan or Budget. In taking this decision I have given due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not. For further details please see the EIA attached.							
Signature			Date of decision	19/12/2023				
Print Name	Councillor Mark Coker (Cabinet Member for Strategic Planning and Transport)							